

DESOTO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BOARD MEETING MINUTES

TOMMY LEWIS, PRESIDENT 2009 PRESIDING

December 9, 2009

A. CALL TO ORDER

The December 9, 2009, meeting of the DeSoto County Board of Supervisors was called to order by Supervisor Tommy Lewis, Board President.

Sheriff Bill Rasco of the DeSoto County Sheriff’s Department was present and did open the DeSoto County Board of Supervisors meeting in a regular session to hear any and all business to come before the Board. The following were present:

- Supervisor Jessie Medlin-----District 1
- Supervisor Eugene C. Thach-----District 2
- Supervisor Bill Russell-----District 3
- Supervisor Allen Latimer-----District 4
- Supervisor Tommy Lewis-----District 5
- W. E. Sluggo Davis-----Chancery Clerk
- Michael Garriga-----County Administrator
- Tony Nowak -----Board Attorney
- Sheriff Bill Rasco-----Sheriff

B. INVOCATION

Deputy Carl Hurt presented the invocation.

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

D. PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA

**DeSoto County Board of Supervisors
 Planning Agenda
 December 9, 2009**

CONSENT AGENDA

Final Subdivisions

Gray’s Creek Final Subdivision, Revised 1st Revision (6776) – Application is for revisions to the Final Subdivision Plat to combine two approved lots (Lot 44 and Lot 45) and adjust an interior lot line (at Lot 40 and Lot 42) for a total of 44 lots plus 1 lot of open space on 128 acres. Subject property is located south of Slocum Road and west of Getwell Road, in Sections 33 & 34, Township 3, Range 7 and is zoned Agricultural (A). (District 5)

Mr. Jim McDougal presented the application for Gray’s Creek Final Subdivision, Revised 1st Revision which would combine two approved lots and adjust an interior lot line. He presented Mr. Joe Frank Lauderdale as being present to represent the application.

Supervisor Lewis asked if the lots are still owned by the developer. Mr. Lauderdale clarified all affected lots are owned by the developer.

Supervisor Lewis asked if Mr. W.E. Davis, Chancery Court Clerk, if his office has any problems with revising this plat. Mr. Davis asked if a new mylar will be recorded. Mr. Lauderdale confirmed a new plat will be recorded. Mr. Davis stated he has no problem with the revision.

Supervisor Lewis asked if there was anyone present to speak for or against this application. No one was present.

Supervisor Lewis made a Motion to uphold the Planning Commission's recommendation to approve the proposed revisions to the Gray's Creek Subdivision Final Plat as presented. Supervisor Latimer seconded the Motion.

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN YES
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, EUGENE THACH YES
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL YES
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, ALLEN LATIMER YES
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, TOMMY LEWIS YES

Westmoreland Commercial Subdivision, Lot 4 Final (6777) – Application is for Final Subdivision Plat of Lot 4 of 1.02 acres. Subject property is located on the west side of Highway 301 and south of Goodman Road (Highway 302), in Sections 36, Township 2, Range 9 and is zoned C-4 (Planned Commercial). (District 5)

Mr. McDougal presented the above application for approval of the Final Subdivision Plat for Lot 4 of the Westmoreland Commercial Subdivision. He presented Mr. Robbie Jones of Jones-Davis Engineering to represent the application.

Mr. Davis stated there is a possible buyer for Lot 4 of Westmoreland Commercial Subdivision and that the buyer will come back before the Planning Commission for site plan and design approval before building permits are issued.

Supervisor Russell made a Motion to uphold the recommendation of the Planning Commission to approve the Final Subdivision Plat for Lot 4 of Westmoreland Commercial Subdivision. Supervisor Thach seconded the Motion.

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN YES
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, EUGENE THACH YES
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL YES
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, ALLEN LATIMER YES
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, TOMMY LEWIS YES

Myers Road Farms Final Subdivision, 1st Revision (6778) – Application is for Revision to the Final Subdivision Plat to merge Lot 5 with 1.35 acres of Lot 6 for a total of 5.2 acres and merge Lot 7 with the remaining 2.62 acres of Lot 6 for a total of 6.71 acres. Subject property is located on the west side of Myers Road and south of Byhalia Road in Section 4, Township 3, Range 5 and is zoned Agricultural (A). (District 1)

Mr. McDougal presented the above application to merge Lot 5 with 1.35 acres of Lot 6 for a total of 5.2 acres and to merge Lot 7 with the remaining 2.62 acres of Lot 6 for a total of 6.71 acres in Myers Road Farms Subdivision. Mr. McDougal stated the Planning Commission recommended the applicant identify the subdivision's restrictive covenants on the face of the revised plat, as they were required to be on the face of the original plat.

Supervisor Medlin stated the Board need not require the applicant to list the restrictive covenants of the subdivision on the face of the plat as the signatures of all affected land owners have already been obtained by the applicant. He went on to state one of the applicants is being displaced by the new I-269 alignment and is trying to build their new home as quickly as possible.

Supervisor Medlin made a Motion to approve the above application for revision to the Myers Road Farms Subdivision Final Plat to merge Lot 5 with 1.35 acres of Lot 6 for a total of 5.2 acres and merge Lot 7 with the remaining 2.62 acres of Lot 6 for a total of 6.71 acres without the requirement to place the restrictive covenants on the front of the revised plat but to include a note, as presented, to refer property owners to the book and page number where the original covenants were recorded with the Chancery Clerk's Office. Supervisor Thach seconded the Motion.

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN YES
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, EUGENE THACH YES
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL YES
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, ALLEN LATIMER YES
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, TOMMY LEWIS YES

Subdivision Plat Vacation

Strong Estates Subdivision, Vacate Final Subdivision Plat (6779) - Application is to Vacate the Strong Estates Final Subdivision Plat (File #2103) of 5 lots on 18.65 acres and approve 4 Minor Lots and an ingress/egress easement for a total of 18.65 acres consistent with current ownership and easement per existing recorded deeds. Subject property is located on the west side of Robertson Road and south of Austin Road in Section 15, Township 2, Range 8 and is zoned Agricultural-Residential (A-R). (District 4)

Mr. McDougal presented the above application, with appropriate petition of landowners, to vacate the Final Subdivision Plat of Strong Estates Subdivision including 5 lots on 18.65 acres and to approve 4 Minor Lots and an ingress/egress easement for a total of 18.65 acres consistent with current ownership and easement per existing recorded deeds. Mr. McDougal stated that in 1978 the Strong family recorded a plat with 5 lots, over time only one lot has been developed. He then stated the land owners are being charged higher residential tax and would like to maintain the land's as agricultural use with a lower tax rate.

Supervisor Russell made a Motion to approve to Vacate the Strong Estates Final Subdivision Plat in Section 15, Township 2, Range 8 as recorded in plat book 13, page 14, and approve four Minor Lots and an ingress/egress easement for a total of 18.65 acres to be consistent with the current ownership and easement per existing recorded deeds. Supervisor Thach seconded the Motion.

THE FOLLOWING ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THIS MATTER:

FIRST DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, JESSIE MEDLIN YES
SECOND DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, EUGENE THACH YES
THIRD DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, BILL RUSSELL YES
FOURTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, ALLEN LATIMER YES
FIFTH DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, TOMMY LEWIS YES

Other

1. Match for MPO PL Funds

Mr. Jim McDougal presented a request for the County to match the MPO Planning funds. He explained the planning funds are federal funds and that a match to federal funds is required by local municipalities. He stated the match is approximately \$46,000 per the memo included in the Board of Supervisor notebooks. Mr. McDougal stated that MDOT usually makes the match annually but have decided not to this year; therefore the MPO is looking to DeSoto County to make the match. Mr. McDougal suggested speaking with the cities to request they help with the match.

Mr. Michael Garriga stated the match is not budgeted for the County's fiscal year 2010 and was sprung on the County by MPO. He stated that the County could lose STP funds, or construction dollars, if the match is not made. He went on to explain that STP funds are close to \$1.4 million for DeSoto County which is used for road improvement and other such projects.

Supervisor Thach asked about the Pleasant Hill project in Olive Branch. Mr. McDougal clarified that the last transportation bill stated that any money that has not been used would be rescinded; therefore \$1.4 million was rescinded from DeSoto County but was moved over to the future year budget. He went on to state that the money for the Pleasant Hill project will be received in the next funding cycle. There was general discussion of how funds are dispersed through the Memphis MPO.

Supervisor Lewis asked if the Board pursues asking the cities to assist in cost of the match, how much will the County need to pay. Supervisor Russell suggested they determine how much of the match each municipality contributes based on population of each municipality. The Board of Supervisors discussed and agreed that the match of \$46,000 should be shared between the cities and the unincorporated area based on population, as the cities will receive the most benefit from the STP funds. Mr. Garriga suggested reviewing the request with the Council of Government (COG) first, before going directly to the municipalities.

Mr. Garriga and Mr. McDougal are to work with the cities to figure out the percentage of the match of each municipalities based on the population and present the amounts at the next COG meeting.

Mr. McDougal gave a brief update of the I-69/269 Corridor Study. He stated the Planning Commission has received Statements of Qualifications from seven consulting teams and intends to select several teams they wish to interview at the Planning Commission meeting on December 17, 2009.

See Exhibit D

E. CONSENT

F. OLD BUSINESS

1. Sheriff's Department Budget Request

County Administrator Michael Garriga said Sheriff Bill Rasco previously requested a budget increase on Monday to hire four additional deputies. The Board asked Mr. Garriga to look into the budget request. Mr. Garriga said he talked to Comptroller Tom Arnold and the four officers requested would cost \$186,349 total. He said it is the beginning of the budget year and there is a surplus. Mr. Garriga said he is concerned that this is a recurring expense. He said that he would prefer to make sure there is revenue to accommodate the recurring expense during a declining economy. Mr. Garriga said revenues were projected very conservatively this year. He advised the Board that all forecasts indicate that property taxes will take a shorter time to decline than other areas of the economy. Mr. Garriga recommended not making changes at this time, but to wait until after the first quarter of next year. Most taxes will already be collected at that time. Since the economy is still uncertain, he feels this will be a better long term plan.

Mr. Garriga said the Board of Supervisors just received a request for a \$46,000 budget increase from the Planning Commission and the Sheriff's request is for \$186,349. The requests could cause the county to be in a deficit, so we must be cautious.

Sheriff Bill Rasco said it is getting harder to protect the citizens of the county because of crime increases since last year. Sheriff Rasco presented crime increases through November as follows:

- Burglaries and Larcenies from 496 to 600
- Aggravated Assaults from 19 to 62
- Sexual Assaults from 39 to 47
- Sex Offenders from 77 to 108
- Total Cases from 29 to 52

Sheriff Rasco said legislation is pending that could further increase the Sheriff's Department's responsibility for sex offenders.

Supervisor Bill Russell asked if the numbers presented are strictly for the county or if the cities were included as well. Sheriff Rasco said these are strictly county numbers. Supervisor Russell asked if the Sheriff's Department is doing law enforcement within the city limits. Sheriff Rasco said no, unless they see something suspicious or a crime being committed. The Sheriff's Department is putting deputies in shopping areas to ensure public safety during the holiday season.

Supervisor Eugene Thach asked how much the Sheriff's Department budget increased in the FY 10 budget. Sheriff Rasco said none. He said they did remove the school resource officers.

Supervisor Tommy Lewis asked if there were more investigators would cases be moved through the courts more quickly. Sheriff Rasco said the additional officers would have no impact on the courts.

Supervisor Allen Latimer asked if the sex offender law has passed. Sheriff Rasco said no, but it is very likely to pass. Supervisor Latimer asked if that law is in reference to sex offenders paying \$300 when they register as an offender. Sheriff Rasco said yes. Supervisor Latimer asked where the money goes. Sheriff Rasco said the money goes to the state.

Supervisor Russell asked how much money would be added to the Sheriff's budget on a yearly basis. Mr. Garriga said approximately \$220,000 per year. Supervisor Russell said he understands how important this situation is and it is tough on the Sheriff's Department. Supervisor Russell said he does not think we have seen the full economic impact on the county's budget yet. Supervisor Russell said he will agree with Mr. Garriga's recommendation and wait to see if this law is passed before the Board can make a determination on the issue.

Sheriff Rasco said he understands their position and he will make sure the Sheriff's Department provides all services necessary to keep the public safe.

Supervisor Thach asked how much the budget increased in FY 10 for the Sheriff's Department. Mr. Garriga said approximately \$460,000 including the school resource officers.

Supervisor Russell said his biggest concern is that this is a recurring expense. He asked Sheriff Rasco if he could move any money around in his budget. Sheriff Rasco said he is going to do everything he can to keep costs down and provide service. Supervisor Russell commented that whenever Jackson cuts funds from the state budget, it falls back on the county as a responsibility for the county to fund.

Supervisor Latimer commended the Sheriff for fighting for what is needed in the budget and appreciated the fact the Sheriff understands the Board's position. He said he knows the Sheriff is a good business man and asked for his patience and understanding on this issue. Supervisor Latimer said the representatives from the Mississippi Association of Supervisors said counties are the last to feel the economic impact and he feels more comfortable waiting until the second quarter on this issue.

Supervisor Jessie Medlin said, with all due respect to the County Administrator, we are about to go through a holiday season. Supervisor Medlin said he thinks families would rather feel safe

than see the county hold on to a \$16 million surplus. He said he thinks citizens would prefer less money in the bank and feel safe.

Sheriff Rasco said he asked about over time money for salaries paid for the Mid south Fair security. Mr. Garriga said it is his understanding that the Civic Center will not be able to reimburse the money from the fair. The Fair Board may be able to. Sheriff Rasco said he was told in the beginning, the county would be reimbursed for the additional protection at the Mid South Fair. Sheriff Rasco said he sent an invoice to the Civic Center and fair. Mr. Garriga said the invoice must be sent to the Fair Board. Sheriff Rasco said they sent the bill and have not heard anything. He said they sent one bill to the Civic Center and one to the Fair Board.

Supervisor Thach said he knows the Sheriff is doing a good job, but the Board has to make sure there is money to fund the county.

Supervisor Lewis said we do need to find out if the fair is going to reimburse the county for services. Sheriff Rasco asked if they were absolutely required to provide law enforcement at the Mid South Fair at the Civic Center. Board Attorney Tony Nowak said that he and Mark Sorrell got an Attorney General's opinion that stated the county cannot require any public or private group to pay for law enforcement.

Supervisor Latimer said it is his understanding that they were going to donate the money to law enforcement.

G. NEW BUSINESS

1. Starlanding RR Overpass – Final Bill

County Engineer Andy Swims and Jamie Pittman and Jeff Green of Pickering Engineering appeared before the Board of Supervisors to discuss the Starlanding Railroad Overpass project. Mr. Swims said he received invoices from Canadian National Railroad for signal relocation and inspection fees. He said we have an agreement with CN Railroad that states the county would reimburse them for their expenses. The invoice is approximately \$62,000. In the beginning of the contract the county requested that CN submit all of the costs associated with the inspections. They submitted an estimate for all items with the exception of a couple of items. One item is for signal relocation. CN submitted a cost of \$48,000 to move the signal but they did not include the inspection of the bridge girder. There was a line item in the project budget for testing that we could use to pay for those costs. CN said we would receive salvage value on the rails. They gave us an allowance of \$32,000. We returned that to them and said that was based on the current price for the rails, but the rails were taken up a year earlier when steel prices were higher. As a result, they gave the county \$44,000 for the steel. We asked why the county was paying for a new signal. They have agreed to pay with two exceptions. We have negotiated travel costs and other items. To some degree, the county is at their mercy. We have come down from \$60,000 to \$18,000 on the bill.

Supervisor Bill Russell asked if there is money in the contingency fund of the project. Jamie Pittman said yes, but they are waiting for a bill from the state. Before that bill comes in, there is \$5,300 in contingency.

Supervisor Eugene Thach said he is not going to vote for it until the Board Attorney looks at these bills.

Supervisor Allen Latimer said he was at that site during a heavy rain and the project seemed to be holding up very well.

Supervisor Allen Latimer made the motion and Supervisor Tommy Lewis seconded the motion to authorize the Smith, Phillips, Mitchell, Scott and Nowak Law Firm to review and interpret the matter of the final bill with Canadian National Railroad and come back to the Board with a recommendation on December 21. The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin-----YES
 Supervisor Eugene C. Thach-----ABSENT
 Supervisor Bill Russell-----YES
 Supervisor Allen Latimer-----YES
 Supervisor Tommy Lewis-----YES

2. Inventory Dispositions – Preliminary: Animal Services

At the recommendation of the Inventory Clerk, Supervisor Tommy Lewis made the motion and Supervisor Allen Latimer seconded the motion to approve the preliminary inventory dispositions for Animal Services as follows:

Asset #	Serial #	Description	Reason for Disposal	Location at Preliminary
34566	CN7A7A2NR	HP Camera	Lost	Affidavit Attached
34386	909CYEA011 7460	HP Phone	Lost	Affidavit Attached

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin-----YES
 Supervisor Eugene C. Thach-----ABSENT
 Supervisor Bill Russell-----YES
 Supervisor Allen Latimer-----YES
 Supervisor Tommy Lewis-----YES

See Exhibit G.2

3. District 5 Park Fund

Supervisor Tommy Lewis made the motion and Supervisor Jessie Medlin seconded the motion to approve transferring \$1,000 from the District 5 Park Fund to the General Fund and making a contribution to the City of Hernando in the amount of \$1,000 for a sign at Springhill Cemetery \$1,000 with the expenditure pending legal review and approval if permitted by law. Mr. Nowak would report back as to whether or not there is a legal means to make the donation. The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin-----YES
 Supervisor Eugene C. Thach-----YES
 Supervisor Bill Russell-----YES
 Supervisor Allen Latimer-----YES
 Supervisor Tommy Lewis-----YES

4. Purchasing – Annual Bids

Vanessa Lynchard presented several annual bids for the Road Department and explained that she and Road Manager Russell Dorris reviewed them and agree on a recommendation.

Mosquito Control Chemicals-Vanessa suggested awarding the bid for mosquito control chemicals to Elite Mosquito Company which is the same vendor as last year. She said they may be able to use them for other items as well and their price is down by 67% from last year. Vanessa said that she and Mr. Dorris agreed on Elite as the lowest and best bidder as well as the first and second alternate.

Traffic Striping- Vanessa said last year we used Robbie Robinson in Jackson. When the county contacted Robbie Robinson for service, they never could come and provide striping to the county. The county went to an alternate for this work. She said Robbie Robinson’s bids are lower but they were never responsive in FY 09. Vanessa said she and Mr. Dorris recommend using the lowest bid covering the actual expense from Riverside Traffic Systems because their bid for FY 10 lower.

Roadside Herbicides- Vanessa presented the bid tabulation for roadside herbicides and recommended using the lowest and best bid as shown for each item as well as the alternate bids.

Chancery Clerk Sluggo Davis asked if the Hernando Co-op was chosen as an alternate bidder and Vanessa explained that the Co-op did not wish to be an alternate.

Supervisor Allen Latimer asked if Round-Up could be purchased cheaper on state contract. Vanessa said the state bid has not been awarded, but we will compare the two later.

Guardrails- The only bidder for the guardrails is Southern Guard Rail Co. Vanessa said the bid was published to attract more bidders but was unsuccessful. She explained that the vendor quoted a 7% decrease with the same usage as last year. Vanessa said Mr. Dorris thinks the usage will remain the same.

At the recommendation of the Purchase Clerk and Road Manager, Supervisor Eugene Thach made the motion and Supervisor Bill Russell seconded the motion to accept the recommendations as follows:

Mosquito Control Chemicals

Annual - Mosquito Control Chemicals		Bid Date: November 20, 2009		
Bid File #10-156-007		Bid Time: 2:00 p.m.		
Product	Clarke Mosquito Control Yes to Alternate	Elite Mosquito Control Yes to Alternate	ADAPCO, Inc. Yes to Alternate	ALL PRO VECTOR GROUP Yes to Alternate
Biomist 2+2 (55 gallon drum)	See Alternate	\$23.64	\$36.25/gal	\$41.00/gallon
		(minimum order 1 drum)	(minimum order 1 tote)	(55 gallon drum) (Minimum 2 drum order)
(275 gallon tote)				
		\$24.64	\$38.00/gal	\$38.00/gal
		(minimum order 1 tote)	2x2.5 gal case (minimum 5 gallons)	(Minimum order 1 tote)
Alternate Biomist 4+4 (55 gallon drum)	\$35.00/gal			
	(minimum order 1 drum)	Not Bid Item	Not Bid Item	Not Bid Item
(275 gallon tote)	\$35.00 /gal			
	(minimum 1 tote)			
Mosquitomist One ULV (55 gallon drum)	\$59.60	No Bid	\$40.60/gal	No Bid
	(minimum order 1 drums)		(minimum order 1 drum)	
(275 gallon tote)				

	\$59.55		\$37.62/gal	
	(minimum order 1 tote)		(minimum order 4 totes)	
			Bulk discount available	
Vectolex CG (10 oz./800 packs per case)	Vectolex WSP	No Bid		No Bid
	\$846.86			
	(minimum order 1 case)			
			40# Bag	
Alternate Packaging	\$251.60/40# case		\$252.80/bag	
	(minimum order 1 case)		Bulk discount available	
B.t.i. Briquets (100 per case)	\$83.00	\$125.00	\$80.00	No Bid
	(minimum order 2 cases)	(minimum order 1 case)	(minimum order 1 case)	

Traffic Striping

Annual-Traffic Striping							Bid Opening: November 20, 2009
Bid File #10-156-003							Bid Time: 2:00 p.m.
FY10 Bid Results Based on FY09 Usage							
ITEM	FY09 USAGE		RIVERSIDE TRAFFIC SYSTEMS			J.C. CHEEK CONTRACTORS, INC.	
4" Traffic Stripe (Paint)	0		\$ 425.00 per mile	0		\$ 600.00 per mile	0
4" Traffic Stripe (30 ml thermoplastic)	0		\$605.00 per mile	0		\$ 850.00 per mile	0
4" Traffic Stripe (60 ml thermoplastic)	65 miles		\$1025.00 per mile	\$66,625.00		\$ 1100.00 per mile	\$71,500.00
4" Traffic Strip (90 ml thermoplastic)	61 miles		\$ 1125.00 per mile	\$68,625.00		\$ 1300.00 per mile	\$79,300.00
Detail Legend (120 ml thermoplastic)	0		\$3.90 sq. foot	0		\$ 6.00 sq. foot	0
TOTAL				\$135,250.00			\$150,800.00

Roadside Herbicides

SINGLE AWARD COMPARISON														
CHEMICALS	CONTAINER SIZE	USAGE	Tri-County Farm Services, Inc. (Yes to Alternate)		DeSoto County Cooperative (No to Alternate)		Helena Chemical (Yes to Alternate)		NaturChem, Inc. (Yes to Alternate)		Red Bud Supply, Inc. (Yes to Alternate)		Allegare LLC	
Round-Up Pro (or equivalent)	30 Gallon Drum	0	\$11.52 per gallon (Minimum 1 drum order)	\$ -	\$11.00 per gallon	\$ -	\$11.46 per gallon (Minimum 120 gallon order)	\$ -	\$12.95 per gallon (Minimum 150 gallon order)	\$ -	\$24.95 per gallon (Minimum 1 Drum order)	\$ -	\$11.00 per gallon (Minimum 30 gallon order)	\$ -
	2.5 Gallon Tote	550 gal	\$11.83 per gallon (Minimum 2.5 gallon order)	\$ 6,506.50	\$14.00 per gallon	\$ 7,700.00	\$11.46 per gallon (Minimum 100 gallon order)	\$ 6,303.00	\$12.95 per gallon (Minimum 5 gallon order)	\$ 7,122.50	\$28.00 per gallon (Minimum 1 Tote order)	\$ 15,400.00	\$11.00 per gallon (Minimum 5 gallon order)	\$ 7,700.00
MSMA 6.6 (or equivalent)	2.5 Gallon	1080 gal	\$19.80 per gallon (Minimum 2.5 gallon order)	\$ 21,384.00	\$19.75 per gallon	\$ 21,330.00	\$18.99 per gallon (Minimum 180 gallon order)	\$ 20,509.20	\$23.95 per gallon (Minimum 5 gallon order)	\$ 25,866.00	\$25.50 per gallon (1- 2.5 gal minimum order)	\$ 27,540.00	No Bid	\$ 21,330.00
Surfactant 820	2.5 Gallon	100 gal	\$42.75 per case (Minimum 2.5 gallon order)	\$ 4,275.00	\$52.50 per case	\$ 5,250.00	\$43.00 per case (Minimum 100 case order)	\$ 4,300.00	\$63.75 per case (Minimum 1 case)	\$ 6,375.00	\$75.00 per case (Minimum 1 Case order)	\$ 7,500.00	No Bid	\$ 5,250.00
Trimec 992	2.5 Gallon	0	No Bid	\$ -	\$110.00 per case	\$ -	\$113.75 per case (Minimum 36 case order)	\$ -	\$113.50 per case (Minimum 1 case order)	\$ -	\$198.00 Per case (Minimum 1 Case order)	\$ -	No Bid	\$ -

Simazine 4L	2.5 Gallon	80 gal	\$104.75 per case (Minimum 2.5 gallon order)	\$ 8,380.00	\$110.00 per case	\$ 8,800.00	No Bid	\$ -	\$119.75 per case (Minimum 1 case order)	\$ 9,580.00	\$149.50 per case (Minimum 1 Case order)	\$ 11,960.00	No Bid	\$ 8,800.00
Garlon 3A (or equivalent)	2.5 Gallon		No Bid		No Bid		\$235.00 per case (Minimum 36 case order)		\$249.50 per case (Minimum 1 case order)		\$439.00 per case (Minimum 1 Case order)		\$ 215.00	
Outrider (or equivalent)	2.5 Gallon	0	No Bid	\$ -	No Bid	\$ -	\$12.50 per ounce (Minimum 200 oz order)	\$ -	\$14.95 per ounce (Minimum 200 oz order)	\$ -	\$18.156 per ounce (Minimum 200 oz order)	\$ -	No Bid	\$ -
24-D		0	\$70.50 per 5 gallon case (Minimum 2.5 gallon order)	\$ -	No Bid	\$ -	\$57.35 per 5 gal case (Minimum 20 case order)	\$ -	\$72.50 per 5 gal case (Minimum 1 case order)	\$ -	\$103.50 per case (Minimum 1 case order)	\$ -	No Bid	\$ -
Recommended Low Bid in Yellow			Recommended First Alternate in Pink				Recommended Second Alternate in Purple							

Guardrails

			<u>BID TAB SHEET</u>		
<u>Equipment:</u> Annual Bids – Guardrails			<u>Bid File Number:</u> 10-156-005		
<u>Bid opening:</u> 2:00 p.m.			<u>Bid Opening Date:</u> November 20, 2009		
	ITEM		SOUTHERN GUARD RAIL CO., INC.		
	Class A		\$ 23.90 per linear foot		
	GR Bridge Ends		\$1,900.00 per each		
	Type 2 Terminal Ends (Section ET-2000)		\$2,400.00 per each		
RECOMMENDATION: Southern Guard Rail Co.					

The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin-----YES
 Supervisor Eugene C. Thach-----YES
 Supervisor Bill Russell-----YES
 Supervisor Allen Latimer-----YES
 Supervisor Tommy Lewis-----YES

See Exhibit G.4

H. EXECUTIVE SESSION

The executive session portion of these minutes is recorded under the portion of the minutes called "Executive Session".

I. OTHER ISSUES

1. Park Fund – District 3

Supervisor Bill Russell said that the light poles located at Robertson Donald Park are deteriorating and the light company will no longer provide service. Supervisor Russell said he got an estimate for removal of the poles in the amount of \$4,880 and requested that it come from the District 3 Park Fund.

Supervisor Bill Russell made the motion and Supervisor Eugene Thach seconded the motion to advise Whitfield Electric Co. to remove the poles at the Robertson Donald Park at an estimated cost of \$4,880 from the District 3 Park Fund. The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin-----YES
 Supervisor Eugene C. Thach-----YES
 Supervisor Bill Russell-----YES
 Supervisor Allen Latimer-----YES
 Supervisor Tommy Lewis-----YES

2. Christmas Lunch

Chancery Clerk Sluggo Davis said the County Christmas Lunch will be held on December 23rd.

Supervisor Eugene Thach made the motion and Supervisor Allen Latimer seconded the motion to recess the meeting until December 21, 2009, at 9:00 a.m. The motion passed by a vote as follows:

Supervisor Jessie Medlin-----YES
Supervisor Eugene C. Thach-----YES
Supervisor Bill Russell-----YES
Supervisor Allen Latimer-----YES
Supervisor Tommy Lewis-----YES

THIS the 9th day of December, 2009, these minutes have been read and approved by the DeSoto County Board of Supervisors.

Tommy Lewis, President
DeSoto County Board of Supervisors